

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,587	03/01/2004	Erik Cardelius	P04,0024	9603	
7	590 11/02/200		EXAMINER .		
SCHIFF HARDIN LLP			SUN, XIUQIN		
Patent Departm 6600 Sears To		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
233 South Was		2863			
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/790,587	CARDELIUS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Xiuqin Sun	2863		

	=		
	Xiuqin Sun	2863	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).	26(a) and the approprie	to automaion foo
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	oliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further compared to the compared t</li></ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TF helow):	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		12:50:0117,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi	ll be entered and an	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ivided below of appended.	. : :	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>3-6</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:		. : '	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	the feet and the date of filling - N		the entered
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.  Other:	MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER	· · ·	
	PRIMARY EXAMINER	`.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20051026

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments received 10/17/05 with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

The recitation of the "thermal-element" in claims 1 and 2 has not been given patentable weight because, as admitted by the Applicant in the specification (page 4, line 19) of the instant application, a "thermo-element or PT100-based measurement instrument" is well known in the art. Further, a "thermo-element" is deemed to be inherent with a thermomeler. The mere application of a known technique to a specific instance by those skilled in the art would have been obvious. Therefore, the rejections filed 04/04/05 (non-final rejection) stand.

X5

10/28/05